CSSE1001 Diagram Notation

Table of contents

How to read the citations . . . 2
What I sampled . . . 2
The two slide families . . . 3
Colour palette . . . 3
Named block headings . . . 4
Section divider slides . . . 5
Code listings . . . 5
Annotating code . . . 6
Scope boxes . . . 7
UML class diagrams . . . 7
Non-UML class and object diagrams . . . 9
The large worked example . . . 10
Reference and memory diagrams . . . 10
Bit and memory notation . . . 11
Data-structure and algorithm-trace diagrams . . . 11
Mathematical and logical notation . . . 12
Geometric and proof figures . . . 13
The one flow diagram . . . 13
The LEGB diagram . . . 13
Naming and capitalisation . . . 14
Figure sourcing and attribution . . . 14
Page furniture . . . 15
Inconsistencies . . . 15
Not established by the source . . . 18
See also . . . 19

This note records the drawing conventions **CSSE1001 actually uses**, inferred from its own lecture slides. It is the reference that later diagrams in this course should be checked against.

! This course ships no notation guide

There is **no written style or notation standard** anywhere in the CSSE1001 materials. The course profile lists no prescribed textbook and no drawing guide; the "Learning resources" section points only to the UQ Library, Blackboard and Ed Lessons. Every convention below is **inferred from the drawings themselves**, not quoted from a rule. The three exceptions — places where the slides *state* a rule in words rather than merely demonstrate one — are the naming conventions (see Naming and capitalisation) and the one figure-source note in 12b. Everything else is observation.

How to read the citations

Decks are cited by their lecture code and **PDF page number**, e.g. `10a p7` = the UML deck, seventh page of the PDF.

Do not cite the printed footer numbers. They are unreliable: 09a runs `1/14 … 4/39, 5/39, 6/14 … 15/14, 16/15` and then ends on a page footed `14/14` that is actually PDF page 17. Several decks have footer totals that disagree with their own length (11a's last page reads `14/13`). PDF page index is the only stable address.

Colours were sampled from the rendered pages, quantised to 16 levels per channel, so hex values are accurate to roughly ±8 per channel.

What I sampled

248 pages read as images, out of 726 across 25 decks — 17 of the 25 decks. Full text was extracted from all 25 decks plus the course profile, and every deck was scanned for embedded raster images, so the unread decks were checked for figures even where I did not read them.

Deck	Pages read
01a history-of-computing	22–33
02a python-memory-model	4–28
03a functions	23–28
03b sequence-selection-and-iteration	2–19
04b non-primitive-data	2–17
07a exceptions	14–21
07b scope	5–19
07c object-oriented-programming	2–19
08a dunder-methods	2–5
08b representation-invariants	5–14

Deck	Pages read
09a composition	1–17 (all)
09b inheritance	1–19 (all)
10a uml	1–14 (all)
10b advanced-inheritance	1–20
11a model-view-controller	1–14 (all)
12a recursion	2–15
12b complexity-and-sorting	2–19

Not read as images: 00-welcome, 01b python-as-a-calculator, 02b primitive-data, 04a while-loops, 05a for-loops, 05b comprehensions, 06a string-methods, 06b file-io. An image-extraction scan across all decks found **zero embedded raster images** in seven of those eight (00-welcome has six photo pages), and they are typeset in the same LaTeX Beamer template as the decks I did sample. So the risk that they hide an unseen diagram idiom is low but **not zero** — the eight decks above are the blind spot in this note.

The two slide families

Almost every visual inconsistency in this course traces back to one fact: the decks come from **two different production pipelines**, and many decks mix both on adjacent pages.

LaTeX Beamer family — serif body text (Computer Modern), ruled code listings with line numbers, coloured named block headings, no raster images. Covers 01b, 02a, 02b, 03a, 03b, 04a, 04b, 05a, 05b, 06a, 06b, and most of 07a/07b/12a/12b.

PowerPoint family — sans-serif labels, filled colour boxes, drop shadows, stock photography, dark-theme code screenshots. Every deck carrying `Based on slides from Dr. Azadeh Ghari-Neiat` on its title page (07a, 07b, 07c, 08a, 08b, 09a, 09b, 10a, 10b, 11a) contains PowerPoint-family pages.

Consistency: this split holds across the whole semester and is the single most useful thing to know before drawing anything for this course.

Colour palette

These five colours carry meaning and recur across many decks. Everything else is decorative.

Colour	Sampled	Means	Evidence
Dark blue	`#3030B0`	Slide title	10a p4, p7, p10; 09a p12; 10b p10, p19

Colour	Sampled	Means	Evidence
Magenta	`#E00080`	Defined term, emphasis, the answer	10a p4; 02a p6; 12a p2
Purple	`#92278F`	Named block heading; margin annotation	03b p4; 12b p19; 09a p12; 10b p13
Green	`#109050` (LaTeX) / `#00B050` (PPT)	A prompt to the student	02a p5; 03b p11; 10a p12; 11a p8
Red	`#F00000`	Warning / failure path	12a p10; 07b p13; 04b p14

Purple `#7030A0` is a different purple. It is used only for the UML legend boxes (10a p7, p8, p10, p11) and for the `Warning` heading in 07b p6. Do not conflate it with the block-heading purple.

Consistency: the blue/magenta/purple/green assignments hold from week 2 (02a) to week 12 (12b). Red is the least consistent — see Inconsistencies.

Named block headings

LaTeX-family slides open a block with a coloured heading on its own line, no box and no rule.

- **Green** — a prompt the student is meant to act on: `Question` (02a p5, 12b p3, p9, p12), `Exercise` (03b p11, p14; 12b p5, p8, p11, p15; 09b p17; 10a p12; 11a p8), `Example` (02a p22, p24, p25), `Task` (07b p17).
- **Magenta italic** — the resolution: `Answer` (02a p6, p7, p8, p9, p23; 12b p18).
- **Purple** — a formal or named block: `Definition (…)` (03b p4, p6, p7, p12; 04b p3; 07c p5; 12b p19), `Proposition` (12a p13), `Base Case` / `Induction Hypothesis` (12a p14), `Observe` (03b p10), `Summary` / `Next` (02a p28, 07a p21, 07b p19), `Constants` (07b p5), and named algorithms `Insertion Sort` / `Bubble Sort` / `Merge Sort` / `Quick Sort` (12b p3, p6, p9, p12, p16).

`Definition` blocks are parenthesised with the term being defined: `Definition (Boolean domain)`, `Definition (Predicate)`, `Definition (List)`, `Definition (Complexity)`.

Consistency: green/magenta/purple hold across at least five decks spanning weeks 2–12. The `Warning` heading is the exception.

Section divider slides

A section break is a slide bearing **one large magenta italic serif word**, left-of-centre and high on the page, with a short parenthetical gloss beneath it in small black roman type.

- `Variables` — *(Named memory locations.)* — 02a p14
- `Sequencing` — *(Order of instructions matters.)* — 02a p21
- `Selection` — *(Controlling program flow.)* — 03b p2
- `Lists` — *(Mutable Ordered Collections.)* — 04b p2
- `Memes` — *(Recursions through meme examples.)* — 12a p3

When a deck runs a numbered series of dividers, they take **Roman numerals with a colon**, and the series continues **across decks**:

- `I: Structure` — *(Holding named attributes.)* — 07c p8
- `II: Methods` — *(Object scoped functions.)* — 07c p15
- `III: Magic` — *(Overloading built-in functions.)* — 08a p5

Consistency: strong — six plain dividers across four decks, plus a three-part numbered series spanning two decks. One divider (08b p11, `Exercise`) carries no gloss.

Code listings

Code is by far the most frequently drawn thing in this course, and its presentation is a notation in its own right.

Ruled block. A listing is bounded by a **horizontal rule above and below**, full text width, with no vertical rules and no background fill. Line numbers run in tiny grey type in the left margin. Code is monospace with visible letter-spacing.

REPL vs. file. The two are distinguished by prompts, not by styling:

- A **REPL transcript** uses a magenta `>>>` prompt for the first line of an input and a magenta `...` for continuation lines; output follows on its own line with **no prompt** (02a p13, p15–p20; 03b p5, p8, p13, p15–p18; 04b p4–p13; 07b p5–p16; 10b p9, p11, p15, p18).
- A **file or definition** is shown with line numbers but **no prompts at all** (04b p15, p16, p17; 08b p6, p9, p13, p14; 09a p10–p14).

Colour inside code. Black is the default. Magenta marks the token or line under discussion — class names being introduced (`Battery`, `Camera`, `Motor`, 09a p10, p11), the lines inherited or overridden (10b p10, p13, p17), the `assert` keyword (08b p6, p9), the constant being referenced (08b p13, p14). Boolean operators are coloured by identity: `and` in cyan, `or` in green, held consistently through 03b p9, p10, p11, p14.

Vertical ellipsis. Elided code is a centred on its own line (03a p23) or three stacked full stops (07a p15).

Placeholder metasyntax. Things the student must substitute are written in angle brackets in monospace: `<code>` and `ExceptionName_k` (07a p15, p16), `<object_variable>.<method>(<parameters>)` (07c p16), `<statement that should be true>` (08b p5). *Consistency: three decks.*

Annotating code

This is the course's real "diagram" vocabulary — it annotates listings far more often than it draws figures.

Right-margin note. A short **purple italic serif** phrase, right-aligned, on the same line as the code it comments on. Sampled `#92278F` in both 09a p12 and 10b p13 — identical colour, three decks apart. (Measured by histogram at 300 dpi. The neighbouring aside on 09a p13 is `#92268F`, one unit off in green and blue — the same ink to the eye, but the two pages are not bit-identical.)

Examples: *inherit from A*, *override*, *extend from B from A*, *Super is B* (10b p10, p13, p14, p17, p18); *Drone has-a Battery* (09a p12); *this is the memory location where 42 is stored* (02a p13); *Note addition happened first* (03b p5); *ys is an alias of xs*, *Aliasing relationship broken!* (04b p13); *a 'private' variable*, *this is called a 'getter'* (07c p19); *unreachable if line 5 errors* (07a p14).

Consistency: the strongest single convention in the course — present in 02a, 03b, 04b, 07a, 07b, 07c, 09a, 10b. Weeks 2 through 10.

Boxed token. A thin rectangle drawn around an identifier *inside* the listing, marking the thing being named. The box colour is **not fixed**: purple around `Point()` and `self` (07c p9, p16), magenta around `raise ZeroDivisionError` (07a p18), blue around `Child` and purple around `Parent` (09b p9). See Inconsistencies.

Brace grouping. A magenta right-brace `}` spanning consecutive lines, with a magenta italic label beside it — `Attributes` beside the two `self.x = 0` / `self.y = 0` lines (07c p9). *Single instance.*

Pointer arrow. A straight or gently curved arrow from an annotation to the code element it refers to. Magenta in 07c p12 and p16; orange in 09b p12 and p13; blue and purple in 09b p9.

Colour keying. Where an annotation has a coloured box and a coloured arrow and a coloured word in the caption, all three share one colour: on 09b p9 the blue box round `Child`, the blue arrow, and the word "Child" in the caption are all blue, and `Parent` is purple throughout. *Two instances (09b p9, p12); treat as a good practice rather than a settled rule.*

Focus markers. Small **green right-pointing triangles** in the far-left margin flag the lines the reader should look at (07b p7, p12). *Two instances, one deck.*

Scope boxes

The clearest original notation in the course, in 07b.

A **rounded rectangle drawn over a span of code lines** delimits a scope, and its colour names the scope kind:

- **Purple outline, no fill** — global scope; encloses the whole snippet (07b p7, p12).
- **Blue outline, pale blue fill** — local/function scope; encloses the `def` body only (07b p7, p9, p12).

Nesting is literal: on 07b p7 and p12 the blue local box sits **inside** the purple global box.

Each box is paired with a short horizontal arrow leading out to a **colour-matched label** — purple arrow to purple `global variable`, blue arrow to blue `local variable` (07b p7, p8, p9, p12).

Consistency: four pages of one deck, entirely self-consistent. Never reused elsewhere in the semester.

UML class diagrams

The formal UML vocabulary is taught in **10a** and reused once in **10b p19**.

Class box. A black-outlined rectangle in **three compartments**, separated by full-width horizontal rules: name (centred, serif) / attributes / methods (both left-aligned). 10a p6 states the rule in words and shows it twice.

Visibility prefixes. Stated explicitly on 10a p6: `-` private, `+` public, `#` protected. Applied consistently on 10a p7–p11: `#name: str`, `-breed: str`, `+speak()`.

Attribute typing. `name: type` with a Python type — `#age: int`, `-nationality: str`, `-pets: []` (10a p6, p9, p11). Methods show a return type only sometimes: `+info(): str` (10a p6) but bare `+info()` (10a p7–p11).

Empty compartments are kept. `Cat` on 10a p8–p11 keeps a visibly empty attributes compartment rather than collapsing to two compartments.

Single-compartment boxes are acceptable when only structure is being shown — 10a p4 and 10b p19 draw name-only boxes.

Relationship symbols

Relationship	Symbol	Evidence
Inheritance	Solid line, **solid filled** triangular arrowhead, pointing **up into the parent**	10a p4, p7–p11; 10b p19
Association	Plain solid line, no head, optional verb label at one end	10a p8 (`drinks`), p9 (`Associated`)
Composition	Solid line, **filled diamond at the "whole" end**	10a p10
Aggregation	Solid line, **hollow (white-filled) diamond at the "whole" end**	10a p11

> ⚠ The inheritance arrowhead is filled, not hollow
>
> Standard UML draws generalisation as a **hollow** triangle. This course draws it **solid filled**, verified at 600 dpi on 10a p7 and again on 10b p19. Every inheritance arrow in the course is filled. If you conform to standard UML you will not match the slides; if you match the slides you will not match standard UML. **Follow the course.**

Line routing is orthogonal. All relationship lines use right-angle elbows, never diagonals (10a p8–p11). A parent with several children gets a single vertical stem down from the parent to a horizontal bus, with vertical drops to each child (10a p4, p7–p11; 10b p19).

Layout and flow. Parent above, children in a row beneath. A grandchild hangs directly below its own parent with its own vertical arrow (10b p19: `C` below `B`). Associated non-hierarchy classes sit to the **side** at the same height as the class they attach to (10a p9 `Vet`, p10 `Heart`, p11 `Owner`).

Multiplicity. Placed **above the line, adjacent to the end it qualifies**, in magenta — `1..*` at both ends (10a p9), `1` and `1` (10a p10), `0..*` (10a p11). 10a p9 gives the vocabulary in a legend box: `0..1` zero to one, `n` specific number, `0..*` zero to many, `1..*` one to many, `m..n` specific range.

Magenta marks the relationship being taught. On each of 10a p7–p11 the relationship introduced on that slide is drawn in magenta while previously-established structure reverts to black. On p7 the inheritance tree is magenta; by p8 it is black and the new association line is magenta. *Consistency: holds on all five relationship slides — a deliberate, reusable device.*

Legend box. A rounded rectangle with a **purple `#7030A0`** outline, containing the relationship name in purple and the bare symbol drawn beneath it, parked in the top-left corner (10a p7

Inheritance, p8 Association, p10 Composition, p11 Aggregation). The multiplicity legend on 10a p9 uses the same box for a text list instead of a symbol.

Non-UML class and object diagrams

Before UML is taught in week 10, the course draws classes at least **four other ways**. These are not variants of one style — they are four unrelated idioms.

(a) Uniform blue hierarchy — flat steel-blue `#4F81BD` filled rectangles, white sans-serif labels, parent above and children in a row, joined by a `#3D6696` orthogonal bracket with **no arrowhead at all** — a darker shade of the box fill, not black, though it reads as black at slide size. Photos of instances sit below each child. (09b p2, p3)

(b) Multi-colour hierarchy — parent box **black** with white text; each child a different Office-palette fill — and these are the stock Office 2007 accents exactly, which is why they recur: `#C0504D` brick red, `#4BACC6` teal, `#F79646` orange, `#215968` dark teal, olive. Connectors are thin `#4A7EBB` **straight lines** — diagonal from the outer children, vertical from the one directly beneath — and each ends in **its own arrowhead**. On 09b p5 three arrowheads overlap on `Animal`'s bottom edge; at slide size they read as one, but there are three. (09b p4, p5, p6)

Black for the root holds in all three of these diagrams (`Employee`, `Animal`, `Person`). The child colours carry no meaning I can find — `Dog` stays teal on 09b p5 even though it is itself a parent.

(c) Attributes-over-methods box — the class name in **purple bold sans-serif above** two stacked **magenta-outlined** boxes: attributes on top, methods beneath, with a photo of an instance alongside. (07c p6, three times: `Dog`, `Student`, `Smartphone`)

(d) Attributes-left / methods-right — the class in a black-outlined box in the centre with its name in black and `(class)` beneath in magenta; a **purple-outlined** attributes box to the **left** and a **magenta-outlined** methods box to the **right**, each with a plain black label above it. Instances are photographs **below**, reached by straight black **downward** arrows with solid heads. (08a p2)

> **Instantiation arrows point down; inheritance arrows point up**
>
> 08a p2 draws class → instance as a **downward** arrow. Every inheritance arrow in the course points **up** to the parent. The two are never shown on the same page, so the contrast is safe — but keep it.

(e) Containment ("has-a") boxes — composition is drawn as **nesting, not as a line**. A large steel-blue `#4080B0` filled rectangle is the whole, labelled in large white text at its right edge (`car`, `drone`); a smaller brick-red `#C05040` rounded rectangle sits **inside** it and is the part, labelled in white at its top with its methods listed beneath (`engine` / `stop()` / `start()`). (09a p6, p16)

Substitution is shown by stacking two such figures and joining them with a **thick curved orange `#F79646` arrow** from the old configuration to the new (09a p16, "Hot-Swapping").

Consistency: (e) holds twice in one deck and is the course's only purpose-built composition picture. (a)–(d) are one or two pages each.

The large worked example

10a p13 — the only whole-system class diagram in the course — is drawn in a completely different style from the rest of 10a:

- Single-compartment boxes, name only, **pastel fills with matching darker strokes**.
- Sampled fills `#D0E0F0` blue / `#D0E0D0` green / `#F0E0C0` orange, with strokes `#6080B0` / `#80B060` / `#D09000`. These are the **draw.io / diagrams.net default shape colours**, matched exactly — this figure was built in draw.io with stock styling, not drawn to match the deck.
- **Dashed lines with small open arrowheads** for association/dependency (`WTController` → `WTView`, `WTModel` → `Tank`), **solid lines with the usual filled triangle** for inheritance.
- Tiny `1` / `N` multiplicities at the line ends.
- Green fill appears on `Tank`, `Tile` and `Enemy` — the three classes that have subclasses. **This is my inference from one figure**, and `Battlefield` (blue, no subclasses) is consistent with it while `WTView` (orange, alone) is not explained. Do not rely on it.

Reference and memory diagrams

The course does not draw its own reference diagrams. It uses Python Tutor.

`pythontutor.com` output is embedded as a screenshot in 07b p7, p12 and 07c p13, p14, and named as a live-demo cue five times in 02a (p22, p24, p25, p26, p27, captioned `Python Tutor 1.` through `Python Tutor 5.`).

The Python Tutor rendering, as it appears in those screenshots:

- Two columns under bold headers **`Frames`** (left) and **`Objects`** (right).
- A frame is a **pale blue box** with a bold title (`Global frame`) and one row per name; each row is `name` right-aligned against a value cell.
- An object is a **pale yellow box** with a small red-brown type caption floating above it — `Point class`, `Point instance`, `function`.
- An attribute inside an instance is a two-cell row: grey name cell, white value cell.
- A reference is a **curved blue-grey arrow** from a frame slot to the object.
- **Aliasing is shown by two arrows converging on one object** (07c p14), versus two arrows to two separate objects for equal-but-distinct values (07c p13).

Consistency: two decks, four screenshots, plus five named references in a third deck spanning week 2 to week 7. Treat Python Tutor as this course's canonical memory picture.

Bit and memory notation

Established in 02a and legended explicitly on p4.

- **A bit is a square cell. Filled black = on/1. White with a black outline = off/0.** 02a p4 draws the two side by side and labels them `On` and `Off`.
- Held on 02a p6, p7, p8, p9, p10, p11, p12 — seven further pages.
- **A bit string is a horizontal row** of contiguous squares, read left to right, with `. . .` after the last cell for continuation (02a p10, p11).
- **Memory is rows of words stacked vertically**, each row prefixed in the left margin by its **address as a small decimal number** (02a p12: 381–388).
- Enumerating all n-bit patterns stacks one row per pattern (02a p7, p8, p9).

Consistency: very strong within 02a. Never used again — no later deck draws a bit.

Data-structure and algorithm-trace diagrams

> **i** The one explicit figure-source statement in the course
>
> 12b p2: *"Note: The diagrams from today's lecture are taken from `runestone.academy`."* This is the closest thing CSSE1001 has to a textbook lineage, and it governs **every sorting and merge-tree figure in 12b** (p4, p7, p10, p13, p14). Those figures are Runestone Academy's, not the lecturer's, which is why their visual language matches nothing else in the course.

List cells. A list is a horizontal row of adjacent square cells with a thin grey border and a soft drop shadow, one integer per cell in small sans-serif, centred. (12b p4, p7, p10, p13, p14)

Shading marks state. White = untouched; grey fills mark the cells the current step is acting on or the region already sorted. The **exact meaning of each grey level is never legended** — see Not established.

A trace runs top to bottom. Each row is one step; time advances downward. (12b p4, p7, p10)

Step captions sit to the right of each row, small black sans-serif, in the present tense of what just happened: `93 is largest`, `inserted 26`, `Exchange` / `No Exchange`, `91 in place after first pass`. (12b p4, p7, p10)

Movement arrows are thin black orthogonal arrows drawn *above* the row, running from source cell to destination cell (12b p4). A whole pass gets a long labelled arrow spanning the figure above everything else — `First pass` (12b p10).

Recursion trees (merge sort, 12b p13–p14) are drawn as binary trees of list-rows:

- `Merge Sort - Winding` (p13): the full list at the top, splitting downward, arrows pointing **down** from a list to its two halves.
- `Merge Sort - Unwinding` (p14): the singletons at the top, arrows pointing **down** into each merged result, ending in the sorted list at the bottom.

Both use downward arrows. The recursion direction is carried by the slide title and by which end of the tree holds the whole list — *not* by arrow direction. This is easy to get wrong.

Mathematical and logical notation

Truth tables (03b p6, p7). A 3×3 grid with visible black rules; the **operator name sits in the top-left cell in cyan monospace** (`and`, `or`); the header row and header column carry the operand values in black monospace; a **double rule** separates the headers from the body. The one semantically interesting result is coloured magenta — the single `True` for `and` (p6), the single `False` for `or` (p7).

Function signatures are given above the table, centred, mixing monospace names with maths: `and :  ×  →  `. Also `> :  ×  →` (03b p5) and `P :  → {True, False}` (12a p11).

Blackboard bold for domains — booleans (03b p4, p5, p6, p7), integers (03b p5), naturals (12a p11, p13). `= {True, False}` is defined with the Python literals inside the set, in monospace (03b p4).

Python literals stay in monospace inside maths. `True` and `False` are never italicised into maths variables.

Implication and quantifiers use and : `(P(0) and (P(n)  P(succ(n))))  m  , P(m)` (12a p11). Note `and` stays as the Python keyword in monospace even inside a mathematical statement.

Contradiction is (02a p20).

Displayed maths is centred on its own line, in magenta when it is the point being made (02a p20, 12a p11).

Arrow chains stand in for flow diagrams. Simple pipelines are written inline as text with → rather than drawn: `state change → _check_invariants → valid` (08b p8), `parent → child → grandchild` (10b p12), `Task + TodoList → Model (domain)` (11a p8). *Consistency: three decks. This is why the course has so few real flow diagrams.*

Geometric and proof figures

The chessboard-tiling figures for the induction proof (12a p12, p14, p15):

- The construction is drawn in **cyan solid lines**.
- The underlying reference grid is **grey dashed**.
- The distinguished (uncovered) square is a **filled magenta disc**.
- Dimensions are annotated with cyan extension lines outside the figure, labelled in black serif maths (2, $2^{\,1}$), placed below and to the right.

Consistency: three pages, one deck, entirely self-consistent.

The one flow diagram

07a p17 is the **only control-flow diagram in the 726 pages**. It shows try/except/else/finally:

- Nodes are **rounded rectangles with a hand-drawn, wobbly grey stroke** (a sketch/xkcd style).
- The node label is the bare Python keyword in monospace, **coloured per keyword**: `try` teal, `except` magenta, `else` blue, `finally` purple.
- **`finally` is drawn with a dashed sketchy border**; the other three are solid.
- Flow runs **top to bottom**, with curved grey arrows looping out to the left.
- Edge captions are bold sans-serif, placed **below** the arrow they describe: *Run the code under try*, *Execute the code under except when there is an exception*, *No exceptions? run the code under else after try*, *Always run this code.*
- **Status glyphs sit outside the flow**: a purple circled beside the try→except path, a green circled beside the try→else path, a blue circled **i** beside `finally`.

> ⚠ Warning
>
> This is a **single instance**. It does not establish a general flowchart convention for the course — see Not established.

The LEGB diagram

07b p13 draws name resolution as a vertical cascade:

- Four levels listed top to bottom, each with its **initial letter bold** — **L**ocal, **E**nclosing, **G**lobal, **B**uilt-in.
- Between consecutive levels, a large **red hook arrow** with the small red caption `If not found` to its left.

- The cascade terminates in bold red **`Error!`**.

Red marks the failure path. *Single instance.*

Naming and capitalisation

The three rules the course states in words, not just in examples:

Kind	Rule	Evidence
Variables, functions	lowercase, words separated by underscores — `descriptive_variable_name`	03a p25
Classes	`CamelCaps` — "That is `ClassNamesAreLikeThis`"	07c p7
Constants	`SNAKE_CASE_CAPS`	07b p5

03a p24 states the course follows **Google's PEP**, "a variant of PEP8", with both linked as lavender rounded chips. 03a p26: lines must be **strictly less than 80 characters**.

These rules govern labels in diagrams too. UML boxes use `CamelCaps` class names (`DeliveryRobot`, `WTController`, `DroneFlight`) and snake_case members (`_check_invariants()`, `has_solar_panels`, `battery_level`) throughout 10a, 10b, 07c and 08a.

Do/don't pairs. Compared alternatives go in a **two-column table headed `Yes` and `No` in magenta italic**, with a single rule under the headers and no other lines — good on the left, bad on the right (03a p25, p28). The variant form labels two separate ruled listings *Not helpful* / *Helpful* in magenta italic (03a p27). Inside dark-theme code screenshots the same judgement is made with an all-caps comment — `# BAD!` / `# GOOD!` (08b p7).

Figure sourcing and attribution

No textbook is cited as a figure source anywhere. A full-text search of all 25 decks and the course profile for textbook, figure-source and adaptation language returns only the four items below. The course profile prescribes no textbook at all.

Attribution	Where	Scope
`Based on slides from Dr. Azadeh Ghari-Neiat`	Title page of 07a, 07b, 07c, 08a, 08b, 09a, 09b, 10a, 10b, 11a	Slide lineage, not figure lineage

Attribution	Where	Scope
`Based on slides from Dr. Azadeh Ghari-Neiat and Dr. Paul Vrbik`	07b title page	as above
`Note: The diagrams from today's lecture are taken from runestone.academy.`	12b p2	**All sorting figures in 12b**
`Image source: www.freepik.com`	09a p9	That slide's stock art
`Images are from WIRED`	09b p2, p3	Those slides' photos
`Inspired by the example of MIT6.100L slide.`	07c p10	The blueprint/house analogy — an idea, not a figure family

Placement. Credits are set in **tiny centred or bottom-right roman type** beneath the figure (09a p9, 07c p10) or in the bottom-right corner of the slide (09b p2, p3).

10b p9 refers to "the course notes" as the source of a code snippet — the course has its own written notes (delivered via Ed Lessons) which are **not** among these materials and may carry conventions this note cannot see.

Page furniture

- **Slide titles**: dark blue `#3030B0`, serif, upper-left, sentence case with title-case content words. `Optional Content:` is used as a prefix for non-examinable material (10b p5).
- **Footer**: `n / N` in tiny grey at the bottom-right. **Unreliable** — see How to read the citations.
- **Title slide**: course code and title in blue, lecture number and name in magenta italic, lecturer, date, then the attribution line. (10a p1, 09a p1, 09b p1, 10b p1, 11a p1)
- **QR-code slides**: a large centred QR code with the URL in small serif beneath, flanked by decorative 3D clip art, no title (07a p19, 07b p18, 09b p19).

Inconsistencies

Places where the course does the same thing more than one way. **Both readings are recorded; a decision is needed before drawing.**

1. Two entirely different UML idioms inside one deck

10a p4–p11 draws three-compartment black-outlined class boxes with magenta relationship lines, orthogonal routing and no fills. 10a p13 draws single-compartment pastel-filled draw.io boxes with dashed dependency arrows. Same deck, same lecture, same subject. 10b p19 adds a third variant: single-compartment boxes with **navy `#103040`** outlines. **Decide: compartments or not, fills or not, box outline colour.**

2. Class boxes are drawn four incompatible ways before week 10

Three-compartment black box (10a p6); attributes-box-above-methods-box with the name outside and magenta outlines (07c p6); attributes-left/methods-right around a central black name box (08a p2); plain filled rectangle with name only (09b p2, p4). **Decide which is canonical for pre-UML material.**

3. Inheritance connectors: three styles

Orthogonal bracket with **no arrowhead** (09b p2, p3); **straight blue lines each with its own arrowhead**, overlapping at the parent (09b p4, p5, p6); orthogonal bracket with a **filled triangular arrowhead** (10a p4, p7–p11; 10b p19). **Decide.**

4. Hierarchy box colour: uniform vs. per-child

09b p2/p3 fill every box the same steel blue. 09b p4/p5/p6 make the root black and give each child its own colour. The two appear two pages apart. And 10a p13 uses a third scheme where colour may track "has subclasses". **Decide whether fill colour means anything at all.**

5. `Warning` heading: red or purple

Red `#F00000` in 12a p10 and 04b p14. Purple `#7030A0` in 07b p6. **Decide.**

6. Two greens for the same role

`Question` / `Exercise` / `Example` / `Task` are `#109050` in the LaTeX decks (02a p5, 03b p11) but `#00B050` in the PowerPoint ones (10a p12, 11a p8). Same meaning, two hexes. Additionally 01a p25 sets `Question` in a magenta/crimson rather than either green (observed visually; my colour sample of that page missed the heading). **Decide one green.**

7. Boxed-token highlight colour is unfixed

Purple (07c p9, p16), magenta (07a p18), blue-and-purple keyed to two referents (09b p9). **Decide.**

8. Three code presentations

Ruled + line-numbered LaTeX listings (most decks); **dark-theme screenshots** of an IDE (09b p8–p13, p16; 10b p2, p4, p5; 08b p7); and plain unruled, unnumbered monospace (07a p16, p20). 09b switches between the first two within a single deck. **Decide.**

9. `Summary` styling

Purple block heading (02a p28, 07a p21, 07b p19); blue slide title (10a p14, 11a p14); magenta (09b p18). **Decide.**

10. Image credits are given inconsistently

09a p9 and 09b p2/p3 credit their stock photography. 01a p23–p29 (Ada Lovelace, Grace Hopper, the Jacquard loom, punch cards, the first computer bug), 07c p6/p10, 08a p2/p4 and 12a p4–p9 (the meme sequence) credit nothing. **Decide a credit policy.**

11. Bit-string reading order

02a p7–p9 enumerate n-bit patterns by toggling the **leftmost** cell fastest (`off-off`, `on-off`, `off-on`, `on-on`), which reads the leftmost cell as least significant. 02a p11 then interprets a word as $1\cdot2^{3\,2} + 1\cdot2^{3\,1} + \ldots + 1\cdot2$, reading the **leftmost cell as most significant**. **If you draw a numbered bit string, decide which end is the high bit.**

12. `Exercise` as heading vs. divider

A green block heading in 03b p11, 12b p5, 09b p17, 10a p12, 11a p8 — but a full magenta italic divider slide in 08b p11. **Decide.**

Not established by the source

Conventions a diagram in this course will plausibly need, that the materials simply do not settle. This is where the risk of invention lies.

No general flowchart convention. The only flow diagram in 726 pages is 07a p17's sketch-style try/except figure. There is no established shape vocabulary (no decision diamond, no terminator, no process box), no arrow style, and no rule for labelling branches. **If you need a flowchart, you are inventing one.** Note that the course's habit is to write flow as an inline `→` chain (08b p8, 10b p12, 11a p8) rather than draw it — consider doing that instead.

No MVC diagram. The entire MVC deck (11a, 14 pages) contains **no architecture diagram**. The Model/View/Controller relationship is given as a six-item numbered list (11a p6, p13) and a four-column table (11a p5). The only place the three appear as boxes is 10a p13, where they are three unremarkable draw.io rectangles among thirteen. There is **no established shape, layout, arrow direction, or colour** for an MVC diagram in this course.

No hand-drawn reference or memory diagram. The course never draws its own variable/object box-and-arrow picture — it screenshots Python Tutor (07b p7/p12, 07c p13/p14). If you need a reference diagram that Python Tutor cannot produce, there is no house style to follow.

No list or aliasing diagram. 04b (non-primitive data, 45 pages) teaches aliasing, copying and pass-by-reference **entirely through REPL transcripts** — mutating `ys` and observing `xs` change (p10, p12, p13). Not one box-and-arrow picture of a list. The idea of "two names, one list" is never drawn outside Python Tutor.

Grey-level semantics in the sorting traces are never legended. 12b p4, p7, p10 use at least three shades — white, light grey, darker grey — and no slide says which is "being compared", which is "sorted", and which is "just moved". Since these figures are Runestone's, the course has no stated rule to appeal to.

No stated meaning for fill colour in class boxes. 09b p5's brick red, teal and orange appear decorative; 10a p13's green *may* mark classes with subclasses but this is one figure and `WTView`'s orange contradicts any simple reading. **There is no colour key anywhere.**

No convention for showing a method body, call stack, or sequence of calls. Despite 12a (recursion, 47 pages) there is no call-tree or stack-frame diagram of the course's own making — recursion is taught with memes (p4–p9), induction on chessboards (p12–p15) and code. No UML sequence diagram, activity diagram, state diagram or object diagram appears anywhere in the course.

No rule for typing method returns in UML. 10a p6 writes `+info(): str`; 10a p7–p11 write `+info()`. Both are the same method on the same class in the same deck.

No hex values are ever published. Every colour in this note was sampled from the rendered PDFs. The course states no palette, so a redrawn figure matching these hexes matches the slides by measurement, not by declaration.

Abstract classes have no notation. 10b p3–p5 teaches abstract base classes in prose and code only. UML's italic-name convention for abstract classes is never used; `Shape` is never drawn.

Line weights, font sizes and box dimensions are never specified, and vary between the two slide families.

The eight unread decks. 00-welcome, 01b, 02b, 04a, 05a, 05b, 06a, 06b were not read as images. They contain no embedded raster images (except 00-welcome's six photo pages) and are in the LaTeX family, so they are unlikely to hide a new idiom — but this note cannot rule it out.

The written course notes. 10b p9 cites "the course notes" as a source. Those notes are delivered through Ed Lessons and are not part of these materials. If they contain diagrams, they may establish conventions that contradict this note.

See also

- 2025-10-07-uml[1] — Lecture 10A's content
- uml[2] — the UML reference note
- python-pep8-style-guide[3] — the naming rules cited above
- csse1001[4] — course index

[1] courses/csse1001/2025-10-07-uml.pdf
[2] courses/csse1001/uml.pdf
[3] courses/csse1001/python-pep8-style-guide.pdf
[4] courses/csse1001/csse1001.pdf